UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,774	06/19/2006	Joachim Zimmerman	06-003-JZ	1752
	7590 10/12/2007 ASSOCIATES, P.L.L.C	EXAMINER		
92 STATE STREET			HOPKINS, CHRISTINE D	
BOSTON, MA 02109-2004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3735	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

\mathcal{H}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/564,774	ZIMMERMAN, JOACHIM		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
•	Christine D. Hopkins	3735		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26. 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed 26 July 2007. Claim 1 is now pending. The Examiner acknowledges the amendments to claim 1.

Specification

2. The amendment filed 26 July 2007 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: at lines 3 and 5 of claim 1, the specification does not support the medical apparatus for the treatment of living beings having a pulsating magnetic field, nor an element which radiates a pulsating magnetic field.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Regarding claim 1 at lines 3 and 5, the specification does not

Art Unit: 3735

support the medical apparatus for the treatment of living beings having a pulsating magnetic field, nor an element which radiates a pulsating magnetic field.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ansari (U.S. Patent No. 3,805,777). Ansari teaches an intrauterine device comprising a ring embedded with magnets. Regarding claim 1, Ansari discloses a device 10, in the form of a ring, also made of an inert, elastically deformable material. Magnetic rings segments, or "magnetized balls," 18, capable of radiating a pulsating magnetic field, are introduced into the ring 10 and are arranged in opposite alignment (col. 3, lines 26-45 and Figs. 1-2). The device is capable of being placed within the vagina or uterine cavity (col. 3, lines 53-55).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 26 July 2007 with respect to the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph has been withdrawn.

8. Applicant's arguments filed 26 July 2007 with respect to the rejection of claim 1

under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) citing Ansari ('777) have been fully considered and are not

persuasive. Applicant contends that Ansari does not teach a medical apparatus for the

treatment of living beings which incorporates magnetic field therapy that allows for a

pulsating magnetic field. However, this argument is not persuasive. The invention of

Ansari is fully capable of allowing a pulsating magnetic field in that it incorporates

magnetic ring segments which are capable of being acted upon to emit a pulsating

magnetic field. It is further noted that the claim language recites that "magnetized" or

"magnetisable" balls or rings are introduced into an inert tube. However, a

"magnetisable" ball could be, for instance, a metal component which in itself does not

emit a pulsating field, rather is acted upon by an external generating source to cause

the emission of a pulsating magnetic field.

Applicant also contends that the instant invention is directed to providing relief to women for hypogastrium complaints and various other pains and to men for prostate complaints and that Ansari fails to provide these features. However, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., hypogastrium and prostate complaints) are not recited in the rejected claim. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Furthermore, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably

Art Unit: 3735

distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In view of the foregoing, the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) citing Ansari ('777) has been maintained.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine D. Hopkins whose telephone number is (571) 272-9058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7 a.m.-3:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Charles Marmor, II can be reached on (571) 272-4730. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/564,774

Art Unit: 3735

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles A. Marmor, II

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 3735

Christine D Hopkins Examiner Art Unit 3735